UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/598,124 08/18/2006		Isao Sakamoto	P30245	1323	
	7590 04/20/200 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.	EXAMINER			
1950 ROLAND	CLARKE PLACE		TAKEUCHI, YOSHITOSHI		
RESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1793		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/20/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/598,124	SAKAMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	YOSHITOSHI TAKEUCHI	1793	

	YOSHITOSHI TAKEUCHI	1793				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	وط لومسوم و ما وم النب				
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beti	nsideration and/or search (see NOT »);	E below);				
appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		cted claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		nnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inplication and individual (102 02 1/1			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	kplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-13 and 15-16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach	ed.			
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793	/YOSHITOSHI TAKEU(Examiner, Art Unit 1793	CHI/				

the limitation "uniform" to "a mixture of a liquid substance and solder particles" in the preamble of claim 1 is broader than the limitation in former claim 14, which requires further search/consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant makes three arguments over the final Office action dated January 12, 2009.

First, the applicant argues the ratio of solder metal to dispersing medium in the Ono reference does not read on revised claim 1. In response, the claim as written does not require the final solder composition to have 30% or less ratio of solder particles, so an initial ratio of solder particles as taught by Ono, meets the claims.

Second, the applicant argues Saito teaches away from combining with Ono in the cited range.

In response, the operative words in the Saito reference is "preferred," where the reference teaches, "[w]ith less than 50 wt % of more than 99 wt % solder powder, required solder printability is not obtained, thus not preferred." (paragraph 0113, emphasis added). While a ratio of solder particles of less than 50% solder particles might not be preferable, a reference may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill the art, including nonpreferred embodiments. Merck & Co. v. Biocraft Laboratories, 874 F.2d 804 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989). See MPEP § 2123 I.

Third, the applicant argues by referencing paragraph 0092 of Ono that the combination of Saito and Ono fails to disclose each and every element of the pending claims.

In response, paragraph 0092 of Ono is one embodiment of the invention taught by Ono. In paragraph 0078, Ono teaches a mixing ratio of metal within the "range of 0.1 to 100 g, preferably 1 to 50, more preferably 2 to 20g per 100 g of the particle dispersion medium." This is within the instantly claimed range, a reference may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill the art, including nonpreferred embodiments. Merck & Co. v. Biocraft Laboratories, 874 F.2d 804 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989). See MPEP § 2123 I.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YOSHITOSHI TAKEUCHI whose telephone number is (571) 270-5828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:30-3:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.